

1. The textbook solves the coin-change problem with the following code (note the “set-builder-like” notation):

```
def recMC(change, coinValueList):
    global backtrackingNodes
    backtrackingNodes += 1
    minCoins = change
    if change in coinValueList:
        return 1
    else:
        for i in [c for c in coinValueList if c <= change]:
            numCoins = 1 + recMC(change - i, coinValueList)
            if numCoins < minCoins:
                minCoins = numCoins
    return minCoins
```

$$\{c \mid c \in \text{coinValueList and } c \leq \text{change}\}$$

Results of running this code:

```
Change Amount: 63 Coin types: [1, 5, 10, 25]
Run-time: 70.689 seconds
Fewest number of coins 6
Number of Backtracking Nodes: 67,716,925
```

I removed the fancy set-builder notation and replaced it with a simple if-statement check:

```
def recMC(change, coinValueList):
    global backtrackingNodes
    backtrackingNodes += 1
    minCoins = change
    if change in coinValueList:
        return 1
    else:
        for i in coinValueList:
            if i <= change:
                numCoins = 1 + recMC(change - i, coinValueList)
                if numCoins < minCoins:
                    minCoins = numCoins
    return minCoins
```

Results of running this code:

```
Change Amount: 63 Coin types: [1, 5, 10, 25]
Run-time: 45.815 seconds
Fewest number of coins 6
Number of Backtracking Nodes: 67,716,925
```

a) Why is the second version so much “faster”?

b) Why does it still take a long time?

2. To speed the recursive backtracking algorithm, we can prune unpromising branches. The general recursive backtracking algorithm for optimization problems (e.g., fewest number of coins) looks something like:

```
Backtrack( recursionTreeNode p ) {
    for each child c of p do
        if promising(c) then
            if c is a solution that's better than best then
                best = c
            else
                Backtrack(c)
            end if
        end if
    end for
} // end Backtrack
```

each c represents a possible choice
c is "promising" if it could lead to a better solution
check if this is the best solution found so far
remember the best solution
follow a branch down the tree

General Notes about Backtracking:

- The depth-first nature of backtracking only stores information about the current branch being explored on the run-time stack, so the memory usage is “low” eventhough the # of recursion tree nodes might be exponential (2^n).
- Each node of the search-space (recursive-call) tree maintains the state of a partial solution. In general the partial solution state consists of potentially large arrays that change little between parent and child. To avoid having multiple copies of these arrays, a reference to a single “global” array can be maintained which is updated before we go down to the child (via a recursive call) and undone when we backtrack to the parent.

a) For the coin-change problem, what defines the current state of a search-space tree node?

b) When would a “child” tree node NOT be promising?

3. Consider the output of running the backtracking code with pruning (next page) twice with a change amount of 63 cents.

Change Amount: 63 Coin types: [1, 5, 10, 25] Run-time: 0.036 seconds Fewest number of coins 6 The number of each type of coins is: number of 1-cent coins is 3 number of 5-cent coins is 0 number of 10-cent coins is 1 number of 25-cent coins is 2 Number of Backtracking Nodes: 4831	Change Amount: 63 Coin types: [25, 10, 5, 1] Run-time: 0.003 seconds Fewest number of coins 6 The number of each type of coins is: number of 25-cent coins is 2 number of 10-cent coins is 1 number of 5-cent coins is 0 number of 1-cent coins is 3 Number of Backtracking Nodes: 310
---	--

a) Explain why ordering the coins from largest to smallest produced faster results.

b) For coins of [50, 25, 12, 10, 5, 1] typical timings:

Change Amount	Run-Time (seconds)	Number of Tree Nodes
399	8.88	2,015,539
409	55.17	12,093,221
419	318.56	72,558,646

Why the exponential growth in run-time?

4. As with Fibonacci, the coin-change problem can benefit from dynamic program since it was slow due to solving the same problems over-and-over again. Recall the general idea of dynamic programming:

- Solve smaller problems before larger ones
- store their answers
- look-up answers to smaller problems when solving larger subproblems, so each problem solved only once

a) To solve the coin-change problem using dynamic programming, we need to answer the questions:

- What is the smallest problem?
- Where do we store the answers to the smaller problems?

```
backtrackingNodes = 0 # profiling variable to track number of state-space tree nodes

def solveCoinChange(changeAmt, coinTypes):

    def backtrack(changeAmt, numberOfEachCoinType, numberOfCoinsSoFar, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType):
        global backtrackingNodes
        backtrackingNodes += 1

        for index in range(len(coinTypes)):
            smallerChangeAmt = changeAmt - coinTypes[index]
            if promising(smallerChangeAmt, numberOfCoinsSoFar+1, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins):
                if smallerChangeAmt == 0: # a solution is found
                    if (not solutionFound) or numberOfCoinsSoFar + 1 < bestFewestCoins: # check if its best
                        bestFewestCoins = numberOfCoinsSoFar+1
                        bestNumberOfEachCoinType = [] + numberOfEachCoinType
                        bestNumberOfEachCoinType[index] += 1
                        solutionFound = True
                else:
                    # call child with updated state information
                    smallerChangeAmtNumberOfEachCoinType = [] + numberOfEachCoinType
                    smallerChangeAmtNumberOfEachCoinType[index] += 1

                    solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType = backtrack(smallerChangeAmt, smallerChangeAmtNumberOfEachCoinType,
                                                                                          numberOfCoinsSoFar + 1, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins,
                                                                                          bestNumberOfEachCoinType)

            return solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType
        # end def backtrack

    def promising(changeAmt, numberOfCoinsReturned, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins):
        if changeAmt < 0:
            return False
        elif changeAmt == 0:
            return True
        else: # changeAmt > 0
            if solutionFound and numberOfCoinsReturned+1 >= bestFewestCoins:
                return False
            else:
                return True

    # Body of solveCoinChange
    numberOfEachCoinType = [] # set-up initial "current state" information
    numberOfCoinsSoFar = 0
    solutionFound = False
    bestFewestCoins = -1
    bestNumberOfEachCoinType = None

    numberOfEachCoinType = []
    for coin in coinTypes:
        numberOfEachCoinType.append(0)
    numberOfCoinsSoFar = 0
    solutionFound = False
    bestFewestCoins = -1
    bestNumberOfEachCoinType = None

    solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType = backtrack(changeAmt, numberOfEachCoinType, numberOfCoinsSoFar, solutionFound,
                                                                           bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType)
    return bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType
```

