

Objective: To experiment with searching and get a feel for the performance of hashing.

To start the lab: Download and unzip the file lab7.zip

Part A:

- a) Open and run the `timeLinearSearch.py` program that times the `LinearSearch` algorithm imported from `LinearSearch.py`. Observe that it creates a list, `evenList`, that holds 10,000 sorted, even values (e.g., `evenList = [0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998]`). It then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to linear search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999?
- b) Open and run the `timeBinarySearch.py` program that times the `binarySearch` algorithm imported from `binarySearch.py`. How long does it take to binary search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999?
- c) Open and run the `timeListDictSearch.py` program that times the `ListDict` dictionary ADT in `list_dictionary.py`. The `ListDict` implementation uses a single Python list for storing dictionary entries. The `timeListDictSearch.py` program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to a `ListDict` object, and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the `ListDict`?
- d) Open and run the `timeChainingDictSearch.py` program that times the `ChainingDict` dictionary ADT in `chaining_dictionary.py`. The `timeChainingDictSearch.py` program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to an `ChainingDict` with 16,384 slots (i.e., load factor of 0.61), and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the `ChainingDict`?
- e) Explain the relative performance results of searching using linear search, binary search, a `ListDict`, and `ChainingDict`.

f) The Python `for` loop allows traversal of built-in data structures (strings, lists, tuple, etc) by an *iterator*. To accomplish this with *our* data structures we need to include an `__iter__` method (e.g., `ListDict` class from Lecture 15 at http://www.cs.uni.edu/~fienup/cs1520f16/lectures/lec15_questions.pdf). In general an `__iter__` method, must loop down the data structure and `yield` each item in the data structure. When done, the `__iter__` needs to raise `StopIteration`. See the end of `UnorderedList` and `ListDict` classes for examples of their `__iter__` methods. Complete the `__iter__` code for the `ChainingDict` and `OpenAddrHashDict` classes.

After you have completed the above timings, questions and code, raise your hand and explain your answers.

Part B:

a) Open and run the `timeOpenAddrHashDictSearch.py` program that times the `OpenAddrHashDict` dictionary ADT in `open_addr_hash_dictionary.py`. The `timeOpenAddrHashDictSearch.py` program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to an `OpenAddrHashDict` with 16,384 (2^{14}) slots (i.e., load factor of 0.61) using linear probing, and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the `OpenAddrHashDict`?

b) **Place the even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) in the hash table below.** Value 0 is stored at home address 0, value 2 is stored at home address 2, ..., value 16,382 is stored at home address 16,382, but values 16,384 to 19,998 will have collisions. Now, think about the number of probes needed to searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999. Why does the above timing of searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 take so long with a load factor of only 0.61?

self._table	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
16,380	
16,381	
16,382	
16,383	

c) Experiment with changing the load factor of the `HashTable` by increasing the hash table size to 32,768 (2^{15}) for a load factor of 0.31, and 65,536 for a load factor of 0.15. Completing the following table:

Linear Probing	Hash Table Size (Load Factor)		
	16,384 (0.61)	32,768 (0.31)	65,536 (0.15)
Execution time with 10,000 items in hash table (seconds)			

d) In `timeOpenAddrHashDictSearch.py` modify the construction of `evenHashTable` so it uses quadratic probing instead of linear probing (i.e., `evenHashTable = OpenAddrHashTable(2**14, hash, False)`). Completing the following table:

Quadratic Probing	Hash Table Size (Load Factor)		
	16,384 (0.61)	32,768 (0.31)	65,536 (0.15)
Execution time with 10,000 items in hash table (seconds)			

e) Explain why quadratic probing performs better than linear probing.

After you have performed the timings and answered the questions, raise your hand and explain your answers.