1. The textbook solves the coin-change problem with the following code (note the “set-builder-like” notation):

\[ \{ c \mid c \in \text{coinValueList} \text{ and } c \leq \text{change} \} \]

Results of running this code:

- Change Amount: 63
- Coin types: [1, 5, 10, 25]
- Run-time: 70.689 seconds
- Fewest number of coins: 6
- Number of Backtracking Nodes: 67,716,925

I removed the fancy set-builder notation and replaced it with a simple if-statement check:

```python
def recMC(change, coinValueList):
    global backtrackingNodes
    backtrackingNodes += 1
    minCoins = change
    if change in coinValueList:
        return 1
    else:
        for i in {c for c in coinValueList if c <= change}:
            numCoins = 1 + recMC(change - i, coinValueList)
            if numCoins < minCoins:
                minCoins = numCoins
        return minCoins
```

Results of running this code:

- Change Amount: 63
- Coin types: [1, 5, 10, 25]
- Run-time: 45.815 seconds
- Fewest number of coins: 6
- Number of Backtracking Nodes: 67,716,925

a) Why is the second version so much “faster”?

b) Why does it still take a long time?

2. To speed the recursive backtracking algorithm, we can prune unpromising branches. The general recursive backtracking algorithm for optimization problems (e.g., fewest number of coins) looks something like:

```python
def recMC(change, coinValueList):
    global backtrackingNodes
    backtrackingNodes += 1
    minCoins = change
    if change in coinValueList:
        return 1
    else:
        for i in coinValueList:
            if i <= change:
                numCoins = 1 + recMC(change - i, coinValueList)
                if numCoins < minCoins:
                    minCoins = numCoins
        return minCoins
```

General Notes about Backtracking:
- The depth-first nature of backtracking only stores information about the current branch being explored on the run-time stack, so the memory usage is “low” even though the # of recursion tree nodes might be exponential ($2^n$).
- Each node of the search-space (recursive-call) tree maintains the state of a partial solution. In general the partial solution state consists of potentially large arrays that change little between parent and child. To avoid having multiple copies of these arrays, a reference to a single “global” array can be maintained which is updated before we go down to the child (via a recursive call) and undone when we backtrack to the parent.

a) For the coin-change problem, what defines the current state of a search-space tree node?
b) When would a “child” tree node NOT be promising?

3. Consider the output of running the backtracking code with pruning (next page) twice with a change amount of 63 cents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Amount: 63 Coin types: [1, 5, 10, 25]</th>
<th>Change Amount: 63 Coin types: [25, 10, 5, 1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run-time: 0.036 seconds</td>
<td>Run-time: 0.003 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewest number of coins 6</td>
<td>Fewest number of coins 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of each type of coins is:</td>
<td>The number of each type of coins is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of 1-cent coins is 3</td>
<td>number of 25-cent coins is 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of 5-cent coins is 0</td>
<td>number of 10-cent coins is 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of 10-cent coins is 1</td>
<td>number of 5-cent coins is 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of 25-cent coins is 2</td>
<td>number of 1-cent coins is 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Backtracking Nodes: 4831</td>
<td>Number of Backtracking Nodes: 310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Explain why ordering the coins from largest to smallest produced faster results.

b) For coins of [50, 25, 12, 10, 5, 1] typical timings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Amount</th>
<th>Run-Time (seconds)</th>
<th>Number of Tree Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>2,015,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>55.17</td>
<td>12,093,221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>318.56</td>
<td>72,558,646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why the exponential growth in run-time?

4. As with Fibonacci, the coin-change problem can benefit from dynamic program since it was slow due to solving the same problems over-and-over again. Recall the general idea of dynamic programming:
- Solve smaller problems before larger ones
- store their answers
- look-up answers to smaller problems when solving larger subproblems, so each problem is solved only once

a) To solve the coin-change problem using dynamic programming, we need to answer the questions:

- What is the smallest problem?

- Where do we store the answers to the smaller problems?
backtrackingNodes = 0  # profiling variable to track number of state-space tree nodes

def solveCoinChange(changeAmt, coinTypes):
    def backtrack(changeAmt, numberOfEachCoinType, numberOfCoinsSoFar, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType):
        global backtrackingNodes
        backtrackingNodes += 1

        for index in range(len(coinTypes)):
            smallerChangeAmt = changeAmt - coinTypes[index]
            if promising(smallerChangeAmt, numberOfCoinsSoFar+1, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins):
                if smallerChangeAmt == 0:  # a solution is found
                    if (not solutionFound) or numberOfCoinsSoFar + 1 < bestFewestCoins: # check if its best
                        bestFewestCoins = numberOfCoinsSoFar+1
                        bestNumberOfEachCoinType = [ ] + numberOfEachCoinType
                        bestNumberOfEachCoinType[index] += 1
                        solutionFound = True
                else:
                    # call child with updated state information
                    smallerChangeAmtNumberOfEachCoinType = [ ] + numberOfEachCoinType
                    smallerChangeAmtNumberOfEachCoinType[index] += 1

                    solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType = backtrack(smallerChangeAmt, smallerChangeAmtNumberOfEachCoinType, numberOfCoinsSoFar + 1, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType)

                    return solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType

        return solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType

    def promising(changeAmt, numberOfCoinsReturned, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins):
        if changeAmt < 0:
            return False
        elif changeAmt == 0:
            return True
        else:  # changeAmt > 0
            if solutionFound and numberOfCoinsReturned+1 >= bestFewestCoins:
                return False
            else:
                return True

    # Body of solveCoinChange
    numberOfEachCoinType = [ ]    # set-up initial "current state" information
    numberOfCoinsSoFar = 0
    solutionFound = False
    bestFewestCoins = -1
    bestNumberOfEachCoinType = None

    numberOfEachCoinType = [ ]
    for coin in coinTypes:
        numberOfEachCoinType.append(0)
    numberOfCoinsSoFar = 0
    solutionFound = False
    bestFewestCoins = -1
    bestNumberOfEachCoinType = None

    solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType = backtrack(changeAmt, numberOfEachCoinType, numberOfCoinsSoFar, solutionFound, bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType)

    return bestFewestCoins, bestNumberOfEachCoinType
Dynamic Programming Coin-change Algorithm:

I. Fills an array fewestCoins from 0 to the amount of change. An element of fewestCoins stores the fewest number of coins necessary for the amount of change corresponding to its index value.

For 29-cents using the set of coin types \{1, 5, 10, 12, 25, 50\}, the dynamic programming algorithm would have previously calculated the fewestCoins for the change amounts of 0, 1, 2, ..., up to 28 cents.

II. If we record the best, first coin to return for each change amount (found in the “minimum” calculation) in an array bestFirstCoin, then we can easily recover the actual coin types to return.

\[
\text{fewestCoins}[29] = \min(\text{fewestCoins}[28], \text{fewestCoins}[24], \text{fewestCoins}[19], \\
\text{fewestCoins}[17], \text{fewestCoins}[4]) + 1 = 2 + 1 = 3
\]

a minimum for 29 given by 5-cent coin

Extract the coins in the solution for 29-cents from bestFirstCoin[29], bestFirstCoin[24], and bestFirstCoin[12]

b) Extend the lists through 32-cents.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{fewestCoins:} & \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad 10 \quad 11 \quad 12 \quad 13 \quad 14 \quad 15 \quad 16 \quad 17 \quad 18 \quad 19 \quad 20 \quad 21 \quad 22 \quad 23 \quad 24 \quad 25 \quad 26 \quad 27 \quad 28 \quad 29 \quad 30 \quad 31 \quad 32 \\
\text{bestFirstCoin:} & \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 10 \quad 1 \quad 12 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 10 \quad 1 \quad 10 \quad 1 \quad 12 \quad 25 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 5
\end{align*}
\]

c) What coins are in the solution for 32-cents?