1. The textbook’s ordered list ADT uses a singly-linked list implementation. I added the _size, _tail, _current, _previous, and _currentIndex attributes:

The search(targetItem) method searches for targetItem in the list. It returns True if targetItem is in the list; otherwise it returns False. Additionally, it has the side-effects of setting _current, _previous, and _currentIndex. The complete search(targetItem) method code for the OrderedList is:

```
class OrderedList:
    def search(self, targetItem):
        if self._current != None and self._current.getData() == targetItem:
            return True
        self._previous = None
        self._current = self._head
        self._currentIndex = 0
        while self._current != None:
            if self._current.getData() == targetItem:
                return True
            elif self._current.getData() > targetItem:
                return False
            else: # inch-worm down list
                self._previous = self._current
                self._current = self._current.getNext()
                self._currentIndex += 1
        return False
```

a) What’s the purpose of the “elif self._current.getData() > targetItem:” check?

Consider the add(item) method with the precondition: item is not in the list.
b) Write the precondition check at the start of the add(item) method.

c) Suppose you are adding the item value of ‘s’. Update the above picture for this “normal” case, and number the steps in the drawing.
d) What special cases need to be considered for the add method?
2. A recursive function is one that calls itself. Complete the recursive code for the countDown function that is passed a starting value and proceeds to count down to zero and prints “Blast Off!!!”.

Hint: The countDown function, like most recursive functions, solves a problem by splitting the problem into one or more simpler problems of the same type. For example, countDown(10) prints the first value (i.e., 10) and then solves the simpler problem of counting down from 9. To prevent “infinite recursion”, if-statement(s) are used to check for trivial base case(s) of the problem that can be solved without recursion. Here, when we reach a countDown(0) problem we can just print “Blast Off!!!”.

```
*** File: countDown.py ***

def main():
    start = eval(input("Enter count down start: "))
    print("\nCount Down:"
    countDown(start)

def countDown(count):

main()
```

Program Output:
```
Enter count down start: 10
Count Down:
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
Blast Off!!!
```

a) Trace the function call countDown(5) on paper by drawing the run-time stack and showing the output.

b) What do you think will happen if your call countDown(-1)?

c) Why is there a limit on the depth of recursion?
3. The non-recursive \_str\_ method for OrderedDict object below would return: "(head) a c m (tail)"

```python
def \_str\_(self):
    resultStr = "(head) 
    current = self._head
    while current != None:
        resultStr += str(current.getData()) + " 
        current = current.getNext()
    return resultStr + "(tail)"
```

We can think of building the string for the list as “a “ + (string for the rest of the list)

a) Complete the recursive strHelper function in the \_str\_ method for our OrderedDict class.

```python
def \_str\_(self):
    """ Returns a string representation of the list with a space between each item. """
    def strHelper(current):

    # Start of \_str\_ method execution
    return "(head) " + strHelper(self._head) + "(tail)"
```

4. Some mathematical concepts are defined by recursive definitions. One example is the Fibonacci series:

0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, ...

After the second number, each number in the series is the sum of the two previous numbers. The Fibonacci series can be defined recursively as:

\[
Fib_0 = 0 \\
Fib_1 = 1 \\
Fib_N = Fib_{N-1} + Fib_{N-2} \text{ for } N \geq 2.
\]

a) Complete the recursive function:

```python
def fib(n):
```

b) Draw the call tree for fib(5).
c) On my office computer, the call to fib(40) takes 22 seconds, the call to fib(41) takes 35 seconds, and the call to fib(42) takes 56 seconds. How long would you expect fib(43) to take?

d) How long would you guess calculating fib(100) would take on my office computer?

e) Why do you suppose this recursive fib function is so slow?

f) What is the computational complexity? $O(\quad)$

g) How might we speed up the calculation of the Fibonacci series?

5. A VERY POWERFUL concept in Computer Science is dynamic programming. Dynamic programming solutions eliminate the redundancy of divide-and-conquer algorithms by calculating the solutions to smaller problems first, storing their answers, and looking up their answers if later needed instead of recalculating them.

We can use a list to store the answers to smaller problems of the Fibonacci sequence. To transform from the recursive view of the problem to the dynamic programming solution you can do the following steps:

1) Store the solution to smallest problems (i.e., the base cases) in a list
2) Loop (no recursion) from the base cases up to the biggest problem of interest. On each iteration of the loop we:
   • solve the next bigger problem by looking up the solution to previously solved smaller problem(s)
   • store the solution to this next bigger problem for later usage so we never have to recalculate it

a) Complete the dynamic programming code:

```python
def fib(n):
    """Dynamic programming solution to find the nth number in the Fibonacci seq."""

    # List to hold the solutions to the smaller problems
    fibonacci = []

    # Step 1: Store base case solutions
    fibonacci.append(    )
    fibonacci.append(    )

    # Step 2: Loop from base cases to biggest problem of interest
    for position in range(                              ):
        fibonacci.append(                                                       )

    # return nth number in the Fibonacci sequence
    return
```

Running the above code to calculate fib(100) would only take a fraction of a second.

b) One tradeoff of simple dynamic programming implementations is that they can require more memory since we store solutions to all smaller problems. Often, we can reduce the amount of storage needed if the next larger problem (and all the larger problems) don’t really need the solution to the really small problems, but just the larger of the smaller problems. In fibonacci when calculating the next value in the sequence how many of the previous solutions are needed?