

Objective: To experiment with searching and get a feel for the performance of hashing.

To start the lab: Download and unzip the file lab10.zip

Part A:

a) Open and run the `timeBinarySearch.py` program that times the `binarySearch` algorithm imported from `binarySearchIterativeLocation.py`. Observe that it creates a list, `evenList`, that holds 10,000 sorted, even values (e.g., `evenList = [0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998]`). It then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to binary search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999?

b) Open and run the `timeListDictSearch.py` program that times the `ListDict` dictionary ADT in `dictionary.py`. The `ListDict` implementation uses a single Python list for storing dictionary entries. The `timeListDictSearch.py` program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to a `ListDict` object, and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the `ListDict`?

c) Open and run the `timeHashDictSearch.py` program that times the `HashDict` dictionary ADT in `dictionary.py`. The `HashDict` implementation uses chaining with linked-lists for buckets. The `timeHashDictSearch.py` program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to a `HashDict` with 10,000 buckets (i.e., load factor of 1.0), and then times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the `HashDict`?

d) Explain the relative performance results of searching using binary search, a `ListDict`, and a `HashDict`.

e) Experiment with changing the load factor of the `HashDict` between 0.2 and 0.9 by editing and rerun the `timeHashDictSearch.py` program. Completing the following table:

	Load Factor						
	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	2.0	10.0
Execution time with 10,000 even items in hash table (seconds)							
Hash table size							

f) Why does the performance of the `HashDict` degrade slowly as the load factor increases?

g) Implement and test the `HashDict` methods `keys()` and `values()`. `keys()` returns a Python list containing all of the keys stored in the `HashDict`. Similarly, `values()` returns a list of all the values.

After you have completed the above timings, questions, and coding, raise your hand and explain your answers.

Part B:

a) Open and run the timeHashSearch.py program that times the HashTable in hashtable.py. The HashTable implementation uses open-address hashing. The timeHashSearch.py program adds the 10,000 even values (i.e., 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., 19996, 19998) to a HashTable of size 50,000 (i.e., load factor of 0.2) using linear probing for rehashing. It times the searching for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 so half of the searches are successful and half are unsuccessful. How long does it take to search for target values from 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 19998, 19999 in the HashTable with load factor of 0.2?

b) Experiment with changing the load factor of the HashTable between 0.2 and 0.9 by editing and rerun the timeHashSearch.py program. Completing the following table:

Linear Probing	Load Factor							
	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
Execution time with 10,000 items in hash table (seconds)								

c) Explain why the performance of the hash table with linear probing degrades so badly at high load factors.

d) In timeHashSearch.py modify the construction of evenHashTable so it uses quadratic probing instead of linear probing (i.e., evenHashTable = HashTable(int(testSize/loadFactor), lambda x : x, **False**)). Experiment with changing the load factor of the HashTable using quadratic probing between 0.2 and 0.9 by editing and rerun the timeHashSearch.py program. Completing the following table:

Quadratic Probing	Load Factor							
	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
Execution time with 10,000 items in hash table using quadratic probing (seconds)								

e) Explain why quadratic probing performs better than linear probing.

After you have performed the timings and answered the questions, raise your hand and explain your answers.