Question 1. (10 points) What is printed by the following program?

```
def recFn(myString, index):
    print(index)
    if index == 0:
        return "here"
    elif index == 1:
        return "there"
    else:
        return myString[index] + recFn(myString, index-2)

print("result =", recFn("abcdefgh", 5))
```

Question 2. (5 points) Write a recursive Python function to compute the Fibonacci mathematical function, fib(n):

\[
\text{fib}(0) = 0 \quad (\text{if } n \text{ is 0}) \\
\text{fib}(1) = 1 \quad (\text{if } n \text{ is 1}) \\
\text{fib}(n) = \text{fib}(n-1) + \text{fib}(n-2) \quad (\text{for all } n \text{ values } > 1)
\]

```
def fib(n):
```

Question 3. (7 points) For the above recursive function \text{fib}(n), complete the calling-tree for \text{fib}(6).

```
fib(6)  
  |      |
  v      v
fib(5)  fib(4)
```

b) (2 point) What is the value of \text{fib}(6) ?

c) (6 point) What would be a better approach to calculating a fibonacci value than the above recursive function?
Question 4. (20 points.) Consider the following insertion sort code which sorts in ascending order.

```python
def insertionSort(myList):
    for firstUnsortedIndex in range(1, len(myList)):
        itemToInsert = myList[firstUnsortedIndex]
        testIndex = firstUnsortedIndex - 1
        while testIndex >= 0 and myList[testIndex] > itemToInsert:
            myList[testIndex+1] = myList[testIndex]
            testIndex = testIndex - 1
        myList[testIndex + 1] = itemToInsert
```

a) What is the purpose of the `testIndex >= 0` while-loop comparison?

b) Consider the modified insertion sort code that eliminates the `testIndex >= 0` while-loop comparison.

```python
def insertionSortB(myList):
    minIndex = 0
    for testIndex in range(1, len(myList)):
        if myList[testIndex] < myList[minIndex]:
            minIndex = testIndex
    temp = myList[0]
    myList[0] = myList[minIndex]
    myList[minIndex] = temp
    for firstUnsortedIndex in range(1, len(myList)):
        itemToInsert = myList[firstUnsortedIndex]
        testIndex = firstUnsortedIndex - 1
        while myList[testIndex] > itemToInsert:
            myList[testIndex+1] = myList[testIndex]
            testIndex = testIndex - 1
        myList[testIndex + 1] = itemToInsert
```

Explain how the bold code in the modified insertion sort code allows for the elimination of the `testIndex >= 0` while-loop comparison.

Consider the following timing of the above two insertion sorts on lists of 10000 elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial arrangement of list before sorting</th>
<th>insertionSort - at the top of page</th>
<th>insertionSortB - modified version in middle of the page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorted in descending order: 10000, 9999, ..., 2, 1</td>
<td>14.0 seconds</td>
<td>12.3 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Already in ascending order: 1, 2, ..., 9999, 10000</td>
<td>0.005 seconds</td>
<td>0.004 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomly ordered list of 10000 numbers</td>
<td>7.3 seconds</td>
<td>6.4 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Explain why `insertionSortB` (modified version in middle of page) out performs the original `insertionSort`.

d) In either version, why does sorting the initially ascending order list take less time than sorting the initially descending ordered list?
Question 5. (25 points) In class we discussed the following bubble sort code which sorts in ascending order (smallest to largest) and builds the sorted part on the right-hand side of the list.

```python
def bubbleSort(myList):
    for lastUnsortedIndex in range(len(myList)-1, 0, -1):
        alreadySorted = True
        # scan the unsorted part at the beginning of myList
        for testIndex in range(lastUnsortedIndex):
            # if we find two adjacent items out of order, switch them
            if myList[testIndex] > myList[testIndex+1]:
                temp = myList[testIndex]
                myList[testIndex] = myList[testIndex+1]
                myList[testIndex+1] = temp
                alreadySorted = False
        if alreadySorted:
            return
    return
```

For this question write a variation of the above bubble sort that:
- sorts in **descending order** (largest to smallest),
- stops early if the inner-loop makes no swaps, and
- builds the sorted part on the left-hand side of the list. Sample picture after running a while:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorted Part</th>
<th>Unsorted Part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>8 9 10 11 12 13 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 90 80 75 73 68 65 64</td>
<td>25 60 50 15 35 45 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inner-loop should "bubble" the largest item down the unsorted part from right to left

```python
def BubbleSortVariation(myList):
```
Question 6. Two common rehashing strategies for open-address hashing are linear probing and quadratic probing:

| quadratic probing | Check the square of the attempt-number away for an available slot, i.e., [home address + ((rehash attempt #)^2 + (rehash attempt #))/2] % (hash table size), where the hash table size is a power of 2. Integer division is used above |

a) (8 points) Insert “Paul Gray” and then “Sarah Diesburg” using Linear (on left) and Quadratic (on right) probing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hash Table with Linear Probing</th>
<th>Hash function</th>
<th>Hash Table with Quad. Probing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 Ben Schafer</td>
<td>hash(John Doe) = 7</td>
<td>0 Ben Schafer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>hash(Philip East) = 3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Philip East</td>
<td>hash(Mark Fienup) = 6</td>
<td>2 Philip East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>hash(Ben Schafer) = 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>hash(Paul Gray) = 0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>hash(Sarah Diesburg) = 6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Mark Fienup</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 Mark Fienup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 John Doe</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 John Doe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) (7 points) Explain why linear probing suffers from secondary clustering? (Recall that in secondary clustering rehash patterns from initially different home addresses merge together)

Question 7. Quick sort general idea is as follows.
- Select a “random” item in the unsorted part as the pivot
- Rearrange (partitioning) the unsorted items such that:
  - Quick sort the unsorted part to the left of the pivot
  - Quick sort the unsorted part to the right of the pivot

a) (10 points) Explain how quick sort performs $O(n \log_2 n)$ on random data.