**Introduction**

The Vetronics Technology Testbed (VTT) is a research project being developed by the U.S Army Tank-Automotive Research development and Engineering Center (TARDEC). The main objective of the VTT is to demonstrate the capability of one crewmember to perform the functions of both the vehicle Commander and Driver. The demonstration must take place while operating over military significant terrain and while performing a military significant mission. In order to accomplish this objective, TARDEC adapted and integrated crew station designs (Figure 1) developed under the Crewman’s Associate Advanced Technology Demonstration (ATD). In addition, hardware and software developed under the INter-Vehicle Embedded Simulation Technology (INVEST) Science and Technology Objective (STO) was used to create realistic simulated operating and training scenarios for the crewmembers. Another goal of the project was to demonstrate the technology in a platform comparable (mobility, size, weight, etc.) to the currently envisioned Future Scout & Cavalry System (FSCS) and Future Combat Vehicle (FCV).

![Figure 1. VTT Crewstation](image)

Several key technologies and capabilities were incorporated into the VTT and include the following:

- Drive-By-Wire Capability
- Day and Night Operation
- Indirect Vision as Primary Vision
- Three-Dimensional (3D) Audio System
- Speech Recognition and Generation
- Multi-Function Displays with Touchscreens
- Embedded Simulation as an Enabling Technology for Embedded Training, Mission Rehearsal, Battlefield Visualization and After Action Review
• WSTAWG Operating Environment (OE)
• Joint Variable Message Format (JVMF))
• Communication via Voice Intercom and SINCGARS Radios

System Architecture
The VTT electronics consist of four VME chassis connected by a Fibre Channel high-speed databus. Two of the chassis are designated as crew vetronics stations (CVS) and each contain two Dy-4 179 (PowerPC) single board computers (SBC) and three Dy-4 783 graphics cards to control the multi-function displays. Another VME chassis is designated as the drive-by-wire vetronics station (DVS) and uses one Dy-4 179 SBC to control the actuators used in the drive-by-wire subsystem. The remaining VME chassis is the designated as the command and control vetronics station (C2VS) and uses one Dy-4 179 card to control the embedded simulation and mapping subsystems. All SBCs were configured with 64 MB of RAM and 32 MB of non-volatile flash memory.

Software Environment
The software developed for the VTT is a combination of Ada 95, C, and C++. Ada 95 was used to develop the majority of the infrastructure components to include the operating environment, the portable graphics library, the station management subsystem, the built-in-test (BIT) subsystem, the data logging/instrumentation subsystem, the mapping subsystem, and the Command and Control (C2) messaging subsystem. C++ was used to develop the lethality, mobility, survivability and C2 SMI subsystems. C was used for the drive-by-wire subsystems. The language mix was somewhat arbitrary and the choice of language was largely left to the subsystem developer. The overall main program, however, was developed in Ada95 to reduce mixed language integration issues.

The software for the VTT was first developed on Sun workstations located in two geographically dispersed laboratories. The use of a portable operating environment (OE) and portable graphics library enabled developers to first compile and test their application code in the workstation environment and move to the PowerPC/VxWorks target with a simple recompilation. A common OE interface isolated operating system dependencies from the applications and enabled them to be ported from Solaris to VxWorks with no application software changes. A common graphics interface isolated graphics system dependencies from the applications and enabled them to be ported from an X-Windows environment to a Dy-4 RTGS environment with no application changes.

The VTT software runs on top of the VxWorks real-time operating system. The Ada 95 software was first developed on the Sun using the GNAT Ada 95 compiler while the C/C++ code used the gcc compiler. The Green Hills Ada 95 compiler was used for the target (VxWorks) environment while the C/C++ code used the gnu cross compiler supplied with VxWorks.
Metrics

An approximation of the source lines of code breakdown for the VTT is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Total Lines</th>
<th>Semicolons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VTT Subfunctions</td>
<td>Ada 95</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Environment</td>
<td>Ada 95</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics Library</td>
<td>Ada 95</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Server</td>
<td>Ada 95</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Ada 95</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>9,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JVMF Message Parser</td>
<td>Ada 95</td>
<td>161,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTT Subfunctions</td>
<td>C/C++</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>4,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>660,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>177,400</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the operating environment, graphics library, map server, utilities, and JVMF message parser were developed for other projects and reused on this project. The source lines listed are for the entire subsystem, and do not reflect the portion of the subsystem actually used by the VTT. The executable image for the VTT (PowerPC/VxWorks) is approximately 29 MB.

Lessons Learned

The major lessons learned or issues encountered during this project fall into two general categories: 1) mixed language programming and 2) embedded development. All mixed language issues were resolved early in development. The use of Ada 95 as the main program eliminated any problematic elaboration issues. Nearly every Ada 95 package exported a C interface through child package named `package_name.c_wrapper`. The biggest problem we had with the language mix was the use of arrays. All of our Ada arrays were constrained and care had to be taken when passing these to and from C. Any manipulation of the data types between the two languages was accomplished in the c_wrapper packages.

Regarding embedded development, the use of fixed interfaces in the OE to isolate operating system dependencies and graphics library to isolate graphics hardware dependencies greatly enhanced portability and enabled us to develop on inexpensive Sun workstations long before the target hardware arrived. Additionally, the use of static configuration files enabled us to have a single, common executable image that was loaded on each SBC. The specific subfunctions (e.g. lethality subsystem) to be run on the board were specified in the configuration file. This let the integration team shift functionality around based on hardware availability and performance requirements. A drawback of this approach, however, was our resulting image size was larger than it would have been had the images been tailored to each SBC.