

```
(define 2nd-max  
  (lambda (lon)  
    (second (sort lon >))))
```

Short, sweet, and $O(n \log n)$

•

- 1 Find the largest value in the list.
- 2 Remove that item from the list.
- 3 Find the largest value in what's left.

.

- 1 Find the largest value in the list.
- 2 Remove that item from the list.
- 3 Find the largest value in what's left.

```
(define 2nd-max
  (lambda (lon)
    (apply max                ; step 3
      (remove                  ; step 2
        (apply max lon)      ; step 1
        lon))))
```

A little longer, and only $O(n)$.
But it makes three passes...

.

Our argument contains at least two numbers:

(<number> <number> . <list-of-numbers>)

With the usual definition for a list:

<list-of-numbers>

::= ()

| (<number> . <list-of-numbers>)

So we will want an interface procedure.

.

If we could write a loop, we might...

- Create two local variables,
largest and **2nd-largest**.
- Initialize the variables
using the first two items in the list.
- **Then** look at each item in the rest
of the list to see if it is greater
than either of the two variables
and, if so, update the variables.

.

(6 1 2 -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)

largest 6

2nd-largest 1

rest (**2** -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)

.

(6 1 2 -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)

largest	6	<- replace w/ (max 6 2)
2nd-largest	1	
rest	(2 -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)	

.

(6 1 2 -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)

largest	6	
2nd-largest	1	<- replace w/ (max 1 2)
rest	(2 -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)	

.

(6 1 2 -3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)

largest 6

2nd-largest 2

rest (-3 9 4 -1 2 8 1 2 4)

.

IN PYTHON

```
def second_max(lst):  
    largest = max(lst[0], lst[1])  
    second  = min(lst[0], lst[1])  
  
    for n in lst[2:]:  
        larger  = max(largest, n)  
        smaller = min(largest, n)  
  
        largest = larger  
        second  = max(second, smaller)  
  
    return second
```

•

```
(define 2nd-max  
  (lambda (lon)  
    (2nd-max-tr  
      (max (first lon) (second lon))  
      (min (first lon) (second lon))  
      (rest (rest lon))))))
```

This is order $O(n)$ and makes only **one** pass!
But, man, 2nd-max-tr is ugly...

.

Use our interface procedure as inspiration:

```
(define 2nd-max-tr
  (lambda (largest 2nd-largest lon)
    (if (null? lon)
        2nd-largest
        (2nd-max-tr
         ; -- handle (first lon)
         new value of largest
         new value of second
         ; handle (rest lon)
         new value of lon          ))))
```

.

```
(define 2nd-max-tr
  (lambda (largest 2nd-largest lon)
    (if (null? lon)
        2nd-largest
        (2nd-max-tr
          (max largest (first lon))
          (max 2nd-largest
            (min largest (first lon)))
          (rest lon))))))
```

This is order $O(n)$, makes only **one** pass,
and uses only one stack frame.

This is dandy solution, IMHO.

.

How might we compare these solutions?

- length of the code
- space used at run-time
- time used at run-time
- time to create the program
- ...
- complexity of the code
- ...
- familiarity

.

learned a new language in order to ...

... learn a new way to think about languages

... learn a new style of programming

... learn patterns of recursive programs

now: use all three to ...

... learn how programming languages work

.

Static Properties of Variables

A property is **static** when its value can be determined by looking at the text of a program.

A property is **dynamic** when the program must be executed in order to determine its value.

Compilers can use static properties of a program to detect errors and to improve program performance.

.

A little language

```
<exp> ::= <varref>
        | (lambda (<var>) <exp>)
        | (<exp> <exp>)
```

•

free and bound variables

```
int sumOfSquares( int m, int n )  
{  
    // m and n are bound  
    // to formal parameters  
    return m*m + n*n;  
}
```

.

A variable **is bound** or **occurs bound** in an expression if it refers to the formal parameter in the expression.

A variable **is free** or **occurs free** in an expression if it is not bound.

.

$\langle \text{exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{varref} \rangle$
 $| (\text{lambda } (\langle \text{var} \rangle) \langle \text{exp} \rangle)$
 $| (\langle \text{exp} \rangle \langle \text{exp} \rangle)$

Free and bound variables in this language:

•

This is **not** a combinator:

```
(define sum-of-applications  
  (lambda (f x y)  
    (+ (f x) (f y))))
```

•

Quiz 1

60 points total

=> 54 A

=> 48 B

=> 42 C

=> 36 D

quiz average = 45

"What is my course grade?"

.

	pair -----	list -----
type predicate	pair?	list?
access procedures	car cdr	first rest
constructor	cons	list

.